1	wo		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8			
9	United States of America,	NO. 19-60588MJ-001	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
11	v.		
12	Ignacio Emilio Montoya-Hernandez,		
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	in accordance with the Ban Reform Act, 18 0.3.C. § 3142(1), a detention hearing has		
16	been held. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the		
17	detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT		
19	I find have a man and among a fittle assistance that		
20			
21		ted States or lawfully admitted for permanent	
22	☐ ■ The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
23	☐ The defendant has no significant conta	cts in the United States or in the District of	
24	Arizona. ☐ The defendant has no resources in the U	Inited States from which he/she might make a	
25	☐ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
26	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
27	 ☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico. ☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the 		
28	United States and has substantial family		

1	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
2	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law		
3	enforcement. ☐ The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.		
4			
5	The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.		
7	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.		
8 9	2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
10	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
1	The defendant is committed to the quetadry of the Attomory Canonal on hig/hou		
12	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extended		
13	practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending		
14	appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an		
	attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver		
15	the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in		
16	connection with a court proceeding.		
17	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
18	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the		
19	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for		
20	review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.		
21			
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing		
23	before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
24	investigate the potential third party custodian.		
25	DATE: 3/27/2019		
26	J. J. Holland		
27	Honorable James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge		
	omitta status ringuistata vaaga		

28